

University of Gothenburg and Chalmers University of Technology.
 Examination in Mathematics : MMG500 and MVE 150. 2020-08-19.
 Telephone 031-414670. E-mail : <salberghalmers.se>

1) Show that we get an automorphism of the group $GL(2, \mathbf{R})$ by sending 3p

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \text{ to } \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & -a_{21} \\ -a_{12} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix}.$$

2) Let G be a group and H, K be two subgroups of G .

a) Prove that $H \cap K$ is a subgroup of G . 2p

b) Show that $Ha \cap Kb$ is a right coset of $H \cap K$ for all 2p
 $a, b \in G$ with $Ha \cap Kb \neq \emptyset$.

c) Prove that $[G: H \cap K] \leq [G:H] [G:K]$ if H and K are 2p
 of finite index in G .

3) Let $F(\sigma)$ the number of 1-cycles in the cyclic decomposition of $\sigma \in S_n$.

a) Prove that $\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} F(\sigma) = 1$ 2p

b) Show that $\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} F(\sigma)^2 = 2$. 2p

(Hint for b) : Let S_n act on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\} \times \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.)

4) Prove that $X^4 - X - 1$ is not a product of two non-constant 4p
 polynomials in $\mathbf{Z}[X]$. (Hint : Consider binary polynomials.)

5) Let K be the field defined by the quotient ring $\mathbf{Q}[X]/(X^4 - X - 1)$ 4p
 and $\alpha \in K$ be the coset $X + (X^4 - X - 1)$. Express α^{10} and $1/\alpha$ as linear
 combinations of $1, \alpha, \alpha^2$ and α^3 over \mathbf{Q} .

6a) Show that every ideal of $R = \mathbf{C}[X]/(X^n)$ is principal. 2p

b) Let α be the coset $X + (X^n) \in R$. Prove that there are exactly n 2p
 proper ideals of R and that they are given by (α^k) for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

You may use the theorems in Durbin's book, but all claims should be motivated.

Brief solutions to the exam in MMG500/MVE150 2020-08-19.

1) We first note that $\det \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & -a_{21} \\ -a_{12} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix}$. We have thus a map

$\varphi: \text{GL}(2, \mathbf{R}) \rightarrow \text{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ which sends $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ to $\begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & -a_{21} \\ -a_{12} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix}$. This map

is bijective as $\varphi(\varphi(A))=A$ for all $A \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})$. We have further that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21} & a_{11}b_{12} + a_{12}b_{22} \\ a_{21}b_{11} + a_{22}b_{21} & a_{21}b_{12} + a_{22}b_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{22} & -a_{21} \\ -a_{12} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{22} & -b_{21} \\ -b_{12} & b_{11} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{21}b_{12} + a_{22}b_{22} & -a_{21}b_{11} - a_{22}b_{21} \\ -a_{11}b_{12} - a_{12}b_{22} & a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have thus for all $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ and $B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ in $\text{GL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ that

$$\varphi(AB) = \varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21} & a_{11}b_{12} + a_{12}b_{22} \\ a_{21}b_{11} + a_{22}b_{21} & a_{21}b_{12} + a_{22}b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{21}b_{12} + a_{22}b_{22} & -a_{21}b_{11} - a_{22}b_{21} \\ -a_{11}b_{12} - a_{12}b_{22} & a_{11}b_{11} + a_{12}b_{21} \end{pmatrix} =$$

$\varphi(A)\varphi(B)$. We have thus shown that φ is a bijective homomorphism to itself.

2a) $e_G \in H \cap K$, $a, b \in H \cap K \Rightarrow ab \in H \cap K$ and $a \in H \cap K \Rightarrow a^{-1} \in H \cap K$. Hence $H \cap K$ is a subgroup by the subgroup criterion.

b) If $c \in Ha \cap Kb$, then $Ha = Hc$ and $Kb = Kc$ such that $Ha \cap Kb = Hc \cap Kc$. We have also trivially that $(H \cap K)c \subseteq Hc \cap Kc$. Conversely, if $hc = kc \in Hc \cap Kc$, then $h=k$ by the cancellation law and hence $hc = kc$ an element in $(H \cap K)c$. We have therefore if $Ha \cap Kb \neq \emptyset$ that $Ha \cap Kb = (H \cap K)c$ for any $c \in Ha \cap Kb$.

c) Suppose that $[G:H]=m$ and $[G:K]=n$. Then G is a disjoint union

$G = Ha_1 \cup \dots \cup Ha_m = Kb_1 \cup \dots \cup Kb_n$ by right cosets of H and K . Hence G is

a union of at most mn non-empty intersections $Ha \cap Kb$ and thus by b) a union of at most mn right cosets $(H \cap K)c$, Therefore, $[G: H \cap K] \leq mn$.

3a) We apply Burnside's counting lemma to the action of $G = S_n$ on the set

$\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. This gives that the number of orbits is equal to $\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} F(\sigma)$.

But the action is clearly transitive so that the number of orbits is 1.

Hence $\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} F(\sigma) = 1$, as asserted.

3b) We consider the natural action of $G=S_n$ on $T=\{1,2,\dots,n\}\times\{1,2,\dots,n\}$, where the action of $\sigma\in G=S_n$ sends (i,j) to $(\sigma(i),\sigma(j))$. There are then $F(\sigma)^2$ fixed points in T under the action of σ on T . We see therefore by Burnside's lemma that there are $\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} F(\sigma)^2$ orbits under the action of S_n on $\{1,2,\dots,n\}\times\{1,2,\dots,n\}$. But it is clear that there are exactly 2 orbits under this action. The first orbit consists of all pairs (i,i) and the second of all pairs (i,j) where $i\neq j$. Hence

$$\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\sigma\in S_n} F(\sigma)^2 = 2, \text{ as was to be shown.}$$

4) Suppose that $X^4 - X - 1 = f(X)g(X)$ for two polynomials f and g in $\mathbf{Z}[X]$. We have then that the leading coefficient of f and g are ± 1 and a factorization $X^4 - X - 1 = G(X)H(X)$ in $\mathbf{Z}_2[X]$ for the images F and G of f and g under the evident homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z}[X]$ to $\mathbf{Z}_2[X]$. But $F(X) = X^4 - X - 1 \in \mathbf{Z}_2[X]$ has no linear factors as $F(0) = F(1) = 1$ in \mathbf{Z}_2 . So if $X^4 - X - 1$ were reducible, then then we must have that $G(X)$ and $H(X)$ are irreducible of degree two in $\mathbf{Z}_2[X]$. But the only irreducible polynomial of degree two in $\mathbf{Z}_2[X]$ is $X^2 + X + 1$, which means that $G(X)H(X) = (X^2 + X + 1)^2 = X^4 + X^2 + 1 \neq X^4 - X - 1$ in $\mathbf{Z}_2[X]$. Hence $X^4 - X - 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbf{Z}_2[X]$ and therefore also in $\mathbf{Z}[X]$.

5) $\alpha^{10} = \alpha^2(\alpha^4)^2 = \alpha^2(\alpha+1)^2 = \alpha^4 + 2\alpha^3 + \alpha^2 = 2\alpha^3 + \alpha^2 + \alpha + 1$ as $\alpha^4 - \alpha - 1 = 0$ in K . From $\alpha\alpha^3 = \alpha + 1$, we see also that $\alpha(\alpha^3 - 1) = 1$ and hence that $\alpha^{-1} = \alpha^3 - 1$ in K .

6a) Let J be an ideal in $R = \mathbf{C}[X]/(X^n)$ and I its inverse image in $\mathbf{C}[X]$. Then I is the kernel of the composite ring homomorphism $\mathbf{C}[X] \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/J$. It is thus an ideal of $\mathbf{C}[X]$ by theorem 38.1 in Durbin's book and a principal ideal $(p(X))$ of $\mathbf{C}[X]$ by theorem 40.3 in (op.cit.). J is therefore a principal ideal of R generated by $p(X) + (X^n)$.

6b) Let J be the principal ideal $(p(X) + (X^n)) \subseteq R$. Then $J = \{0\} = (\alpha^n)$ if $p(X) \in \mathbf{C}[X]$ is divisible by X^n . If $p(X)$ is not divisible by X^n , then $f(X) := \text{GCD}(p(X), X^n) = 1$ or X^k for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. It is therefore enough to show that $J = (f(X) + (X^n))$. But $J \subseteq (f(X) + (X^n))$ as $f(x)$ divides $p(X)$ in $\mathbf{C}[X]$. We have also by theorem 36.2 that $f(X) = a(X)p(X) + b(X)X^n$ for some $a(X), b(X) \in \mathbf{C}[X]$ and hence that $f(X) + (X^n) = (a(X) + (X^n))(p(X) + (X^n))$. Therefore, $(f(X) + (X^n)) \subseteq J$, and we are done.