

MATHEMATICS

Univ. of Gothenburg and Chalmers University of Technology
Examination in algebra : MMG500 and MVE 150, 2018-08-22.
No books, written notes or any other aids are allowed.
Telephone 031-772 5325.

1) Let $F = \mathbf{Z}_2 = \{0,1\}$ be the field of binary numbers and $GL(2,F)$ be the multiplicative group of 2×2 -matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ with entries in F

and determinant $\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$.

a) Determine the order of $GL(2,F)$. 2p

b) Determine the normal subgroups of $GL(2,F)$. 3p

2) Let S be the set of column vectors $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ with entries in $F = \mathbf{Z}_2$ and

$\pi: GL(2,F) \times S \rightarrow S$ be the map which sends $\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$ to

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 \\ a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

a) Explain why π gives a group action of $GL(2,F)$ on S . (You may use standard rules for matrix multiplication without proof.) 2p

b) Determine the orbit and stabiliser of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in S$ under this action, 2p

3) Let $\varphi: R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ be a homomorphism of rings and J an ideal in R_2 . 4p
Show that $I = \varphi^{-1}(J)$ is an ideal of R_1 .

4) For primes p . let $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ be the set of all real numbers of the form $a + b\sqrt{p}$ for $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$.

a) Show that $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ is a subfield of \mathbf{R} . 2p

b) Show that these fields are not isomorphic for different p . 2p

5. Let $*$: $G \times G \rightarrow G$ be an associative binary operation on a set G . 4p

a) Show that $(G, *)$ has at most one neutral element.

b) Show that each element of G has at most one inverse with respect to $*$.

6, Show that a polynomial of degree $n \geq 1$ over a field F 4p

has at most n roots in F .

1a) The 2×2 -matrices $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ in $GL(2, \mathbf{Z}_2)$ are those where either $a_{11} a_{22} = 1$ and $a_{12} a_{21} = 0$ or where $a_{11} a_{22} = 0$ and $a_{12} a_{21} = 1$. In the first case we get that $a_{11} = a_{22} = 1$ and that a_{12} or $a_{21} = 0$. In the second case we get that a_{11} or $a_{22} = 0$ and that $a_{11} = a_{22} = 1$. There are thus 3+3 matrices in $GL(2, \mathbf{Z}_2)$, such that $GL(2, \mathbf{Z}_2)$ is a group of order 6.

b) We have two trivial normal subgroups, namely $GL(2, \mathbf{Z}_2)$ itself and the group with the neutral element $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The other subgroups are of order 2 or 3 by Lagrange's theorem. By

a corollary of the same theorem they are therefore cyclic as they are of prime order. They are thus generated by an element of order 2 or 3. There are exactly three elements of order two given by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. None of these are in the center of $GL(2, \mathbf{Z}_2)$ as

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Their conjugacy classes must therefore consist of more than one element, which means that none of these three elements can generate a normal subgroup. There are thus no normal

subgroups of order 2. The only subgroup of order 3 is the subgroup H consisting of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

and the elements $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ of order 3. This is normal as their conjugates must have the same order. There is thus only normal subgroup in $GL(2, \mathbf{Z}_2)$ apart from the trivial ones.

2a) It follows from the associative law for matrix multiplication that

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

for all $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ in $GL(2, F)$ and all $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ in S .

If we write $\pi_A: S \rightarrow S$ for the map which sends $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$ to $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$, then we thus

have that $\pi_{AB} = \pi_A \circ \pi_B$ such that π is a group action of $GL(2, F)$ on S .

(b) As $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ the column vectors

$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ are in the orbit of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$. These are all elements of the orbit as

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ would imply that } \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

2b) As $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} \\ a_{21} \end{pmatrix}$ we see that the stabiliser of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ consists of the matrices

in $GL(2, \mathbf{Z}_2)$ of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_{12} \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. But then we get from $\begin{vmatrix} 1 & a_{12} \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0$ that $a_{22} = 1$. The stabilizer

of $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ will therefore consist of the two binary matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ where $a = 0$ or 1 .

3) We first verify that $\varphi^{-1}(J)$ is an additive subgroup of R_1 . Clearly $\varphi^{-1}(J) \neq \emptyset$ as $\varphi(0) = 0 \in J$. We have also if $a, b \in \varphi^{-1}(J)$, that $\varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) \in J$ and $\varphi(-a) = -\varphi(a) \in J$ as J is an additive subgroup of R_2 . Hence $a, b \in \varphi^{-1}(J)$ implies that $a+b, -a \in \varphi^{-1}(J)$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(J)$ is an additive subgroup of R_1 by the subgroup criterion.

To see that $\varphi^{-1}(J)$ is an ideal of R_1 , suppose that $r \in R_1$ and $i \in \varphi^{-1}(J)$. Then $\varphi(r i) = \varphi(r) \varphi(i)$ with $\varphi(i) \in J$. But then as $\varphi(i)$ belong to the ideal J in R_2 , we get that $\varphi(r i) = \varphi(r) \varphi(i) \in J$ and $r i \in \varphi^{-1}(J)$. This shows that $\varphi^{-1}(J)$ is an ideal of R_1 .

4a) As $(a+b\sqrt{p}) + (c+d\sqrt{p}) = (a+c) + (b+d)\sqrt{p}$, $(a+b\sqrt{p}) - (c+d\sqrt{p}) = (a-c) + (b-d)\sqrt{p}$, and $(a+b\sqrt{p})(c+d\sqrt{p}) = (ac+pbd) + (ad+bc)\sqrt{p}$, we see that $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ is closed under addition subtraction and multiplication. It is therefore a subring of $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ by the subring criterion. We have also the multiplicative inverse $(a-b\sqrt{p})/(a^2-pb^2)$ to any element $a+b\sqrt{p} \neq 0$ in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$. Hence $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ is a subfield of \mathbf{R} .

4b) Suppose we had a ring isomorphism ϕ from $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{q})$ to $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ for two different primes p and q . We may then find rational number a and b with $\phi(\sqrt{q}) = a+b\sqrt{p}$. But then $q = \phi(q) = (\phi(\sqrt{q}))^2 = (a+b\sqrt{p})^2 = (a^2+b^2p) + 2ab\sqrt{p}$. If now $ab \neq 0$, then we would have that $\sqrt{p} \in \mathbf{Q}$. Otherwise, either $a=0$ and $\sqrt{pq} = \pm bp \in \mathbf{Q}$ or $b=0$ and $\sqrt{q} = \pm a \in \mathbf{Q}$. We have thus shown that one of \sqrt{p} , \sqrt{pq} or \sqrt{q} must be rational if $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ and $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{q})$ are isomorphic as fields. But if $r > 1$ is a square-free integer, then \sqrt{r} cannot be rational as $\sqrt{r} = m/n$ would lead to $rn^2 = m^2$ and that all exponents in the prime factorizations of m^2 are even. But this is a contradiction. Hence $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{p})$ and $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{q})$ cannot be isomorphic as fields.

5] See Durbin's book

6) See Durbin's book