

# Foundations of Probability Theory (MVE140 – MSA150)

Wednesday 11th of January 2017 examination questions

***You are allowed to use a dictionary (to and from English) and up to a maximum of 2 double-sided pages of your own written notes. This examination has five problems with a maximum of 20 credit points for a fully satisfactory solution, so the maximal total is 100 credit points. To pass the course, you need to score at least 40 points. A member of staff is available at the examination site around 10:30am and 12pm. Tel. 031 772 3574***

## Examination Questions

1. Let  $\xi : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  be an arbitrary mapping. Denote by  $\sigma(\xi)$  the following collection of subsets of  $\Omega$ :  $\{\xi^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ , where  $B$  runs through all Borel subsets  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ .
  - (a) Show that  $\sigma(\xi)$  is a  $\sigma$ -field<sup>1</sup>.
  - (b) Find  $\sigma(\xi)$  for a mapping  $\xi$  taking just two distinct values.
  - (c) When does  $\sigma(\xi)$  for such  $\xi$  coincide with the set  $2^\Omega$  of all subsets of  $\Omega$ ?

*Solution.* For any  $B_1, B_2, \dots \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\xi^{-1}(B_1) \cap \xi^{-1}(B_2) = \{\omega : \xi(\omega) \in B_1 \text{ and } \xi(\omega) \in B_2 \text{ and } \dots\} = \xi^{-1}(\cap_i B_i) \in \sigma(\xi)$  since  $\cap_i B_i$  is also in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Also, for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $(\xi^{-1}(B))^c = \{\omega : \xi(\omega) \in B^c\} = \xi^{-1}(B^c) \in \sigma(\xi)$ . When  $\xi(\omega) = c_1$  on  $S \subset \Omega$  and hence  $\xi(\omega) = c_2$  on  $\Omega \setminus S$ , then  $\xi^{-1}(B) = S$ ,  $\Omega \setminus S = S^c$ ,  $\Omega$  or  $\emptyset$ , depending on whether  $c_1 \in B$ ,  $c_2 \in B$ , both or none. So  $\sigma(\xi) = \{\emptyset, S, S^c, \Omega\}$ . This is  $2^\Omega$  if  $\Omega$  itself consists of 2 elements, so that  $S$  and  $S^c$  are one-point sets.

2. Let  $\{\xi_n\}$  be a sequence of positive independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with finite  $a = \mathbf{E} \xi$  and  $b = \mathbf{E} \xi^{-1}$ . Let

---

<sup>1</sup>It is called the  $\sigma$ -field *generated by*  $\xi$ .

$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$ . Show that

$$\mathbf{E}(S_m/S_n) = \begin{cases} m/n & \text{if } m \leq n; \text{ and} \\ 1 + (m - n)a \mathbf{E}(1/S_n) & \text{if } m > n. \end{cases}$$

*Solution.* For  $m \leq n$  we have

$$\mathbf{E} \frac{S_m}{S_n} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{E} \frac{\xi_i}{S_n} = m \mathbf{E} \frac{\xi_1}{S_n}.$$

But for  $m = n$ ,  $1 = n \mathbf{E}(\xi_1/S_n)$ , hence  $\mathbf{E}(S_m/S_n) = m/n$ .

For  $m > n$ ,

$$\mathbf{E} \frac{S_m}{S_n} = 1 + \sum_{i=n+1}^m \mathbf{E} \frac{\xi_i}{S_n} = 1 + \sum_{i=n+1}^m \mathbf{E} \xi_i \mathbf{E} \frac{1}{S_n} = 1 + (m - n)a \mathbf{E} S_n^{-1}.$$

3. Let  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  be two independent Exponentially distributed r.v.'s, their c.d.f.'s are  $F_{\xi_1}(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda_1 x}$  and  $F_{\xi_2}(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda_2 x}$ , respectively, for some  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ . Find:
  - (a) the distribution of  $\eta_1 = \min\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$ ;
  - (b) the distribution of  $\eta_2 = \max\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$ ;
  - (c) the joint distribution of  $\eta_2$  and  $\eta_1$  in the case when  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ ;
  - (d) the conditional distribution of  $\eta_2$  given  $\eta_1$  in the case when  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$ .

*Solution.*

(a)  $\mathbf{P}\{\eta_1 > x\} = \mathbf{P}\{\xi_1 > x; \xi_2 > x\} = e^{-\lambda_1}e^{-\lambda_2 x} = e^{-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)x}$ , so that  $\eta_1 \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)$ .

(b)  $\mathbf{P}\{\eta_2 \leq x\} = \mathbf{P}\{\xi_1 \leq x; \xi_2 \leq x\} = (1 - e^{-\lambda_1})(1 - e^{-\lambda_2 x})$

(c) If  $x > y$  then  $\mathbf{P}\{\eta_1 \leq x; \eta_2 \leq y\} = \mathbf{P}\{\eta_2 \leq y\}$  which is  $F_{\eta_2}(y)$  above. Consider now the case  $x \leq y$ . Since  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are independent, the pair  $(\xi_1, \xi_2)$  has joint cdf  $F_{(\xi_1, \xi_2)}(x, y) = (1 - e^{-\lambda x})(1 - e^{-\lambda y})$  for  $x, y \geq 0$  and 0 otherwise. The set  $\{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 : \min\{z_1, z_2\} \leq x; \max\{z_1, z_2\} \leq y\}$  is the union of two rectangles, each having a vertex at the origin and the opposite vertex in the point  $(x, y)$  and  $(y, x)$ , respectively. By the symmetry, the measure of each of them is  $F_{(\xi_1, \xi_2)}(x, y)$ , the measure of their intersection is  $F_{(\xi_1, \xi_2)}(x, x)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{\eta_1 \leq x; \eta_2 \leq y\} &= 2F_{(\xi_1, \xi_2)}(x, y) - F_{(\xi_1, \xi_2)}(x, x) \\ &= 1 - e^{-2\lambda x} - 2e^{-\lambda y} + 2e^{-\lambda(x+y)}. \end{aligned}$$

(d) Obviously,  $\mathbf{P}\{\eta_2 > y \mid \eta_1 = x\} = 1$  for all  $y < x$ . Consider  $y \geq x$  and put  $z = y - x > 0$ . Since  $\xi_1$  and  $\xi_2$  are equally distributed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}\{\eta_2 > y \mid \eta_1 = x\} &= \mathbf{P}\{\xi_2 > y \mid \xi_1 = x; \xi_2 > x\} \mathbf{P}\{\xi_1 < \xi_2\} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{P}\{\xi_1 > y \mid \xi_2 = x; \xi_1 > x\} \mathbf{P}\{\xi_1 > \xi_2\} \\ &= e^{-\lambda y}/e^{-\lambda x} \cdot 0.5 + e^{-\lambda y}/e^{-\lambda x} \cdot 0.5 = e^{-\lambda z} \end{aligned}$$

which corresponds to the distribution of a r.v.  $\eta_1 + \xi$ , where  $\xi \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ .

4. Suppose that the amounts  $R_n$  you win in  $n$ -th game of chance are independent identically distributed random variables with a finite mean  $m$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . It is reasonable to assume that  $m < 0$ . Show that  $\mathbf{P}\{(R_1 + \dots + R_n)/n < m/2\} \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . What is the moral of this result?

*Solution.* Let  $S_n = R_1 + \dots + R_n$ . Since  $m < 0$  and  $\mathbf{E} S_n/n = m$ , we have that

$$\mathbf{P}\{S_n/n > m/2\} = \mathbf{P}\{S_n/n - m > -m/2\} = \mathbf{P}\{S_n/n - m > |m|/2\}.$$

Now by the Chebyshov inequality, the later is at most

$$\mathbf{P}\{|S_n/n - m| > |m|/2\} \leq \mathbf{var}(S_n/n)/(m^2/4) = 4\sigma^2/(nm^2) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Morale: the probability that your total win after  $n$  rounds is positive is vanishing when  $m < 0$ !

5. Let  $\mu_n = \min\{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n\}$ , where  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n$  are independent uniformly distributed on  $[0, 1]$  random variables. For which real  $\gamma$  the sequence  $n^\gamma \mu_n$  has a weak limit? What are these limiting distributions?

*Solution.* For any  $y \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\mathbf{P}\{\mu_n > x\} = \mathbf{P}(\cup_{i=1}^n \{\xi_i > x\}) = (1 - x)^n$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{P}\{n^\gamma \mu_n > x\} = (1 - n^{-\gamma}x)^n = \exp\{n \log(1 - n^{-\gamma}x)\}$ . We see that when  $\gamma = 1$  the last expression tends to  $e^{-x}$  which is  $1 - F(x)$  for exponential distribution  $\text{Exp}(1)$  which is a continuous function. So the limit for all  $x$  means the weak convergence. When  $\gamma > 1$  the limit is 1 which is the ch.f. of a constant 0. In this case the weak limit is trivial: 0. Finally, there is no limit for  $\gamma < 1$ .